arXiv:1408.5356v5 [math.GT] 22 Mar 2015

Seifert surgery on knots via Reidemeister torsion and Casson-Walker-Lescop invariant

Dedicated to Professor Yukio Matsumoto for his 70th birthday

Teruhisa Kadokami, Noriko Maruyama and Tsuyoshi Sakai

March 23, 2015

Abstract

For a knot K with $\Delta_K(t) \doteq t^2 - 3t + 1$ in a homology 3-sphere, let M be the result of $2/q$-surgery on K. We show that appropriate assumptions on the Reidemeister torsion and the Casson-Walker-Lescop invariant of the universal abelian covering of M imply $q = \pm 1$, if M is a Seifert fibered space.

1 Introduction

Dehn surgeries on knots or links have been studied from various points of view (e.g. [Ber, BL, BW, CGLS, Kd1, Kd2, Kd3, KMS, Ma1, Ma2, OS1, OS2, Th, Tr1, Tr2, Wan]). The first author [Kd1] introduced an idea for applying the Reidemeister torsion to Dehn surgery, and showed the following:

Theorem 1.1 ([Kd2, Theorem 1.4]) *Let K be a knot in a homology 3-sphere Σ such that the Alexander polynomial of K is $t^2 - 3t + 1$. The only surgeries on K that may produce a Seifert fibered space with base S^2 and with $H_1 \neq \{0\}, \mathbb{Z}$ have coefficients $2/q$ and $3/q$, and produce Seifert fibered space with three singular fibers. Moreover (1) if the coefficient is $2/q$, then the set of multiplicities is $\{2\alpha, 2\beta, 5\}$ where $\gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, and (2) if the coefficient is $3/q$, then the set of multiplicities is $\{3\alpha, 3\beta, 4\}$ where $\gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1$.*

In this paper, based on Theorem 1.1, we discuss the $2/q$ - Seifert surgery by applying the Reidemeister torsion and the Casson-Walker-Lescop invariant in combination simultaneously, and give a sufficient condition to determine the integrality of $2/q$ (Theorem 2.1). The condition is the one suggested by computations for the figure eight knot (Example 2.2).

This paper is actually a continuation of [Kd2], so we follow mainly the notations of [Kd2] and review necessary minimum ones:

(1) Let Σ be a homology 3-sphere, and let K be a knot in Σ. Then $\Delta_K(t)$ denotes the Alexander polynomial of K, and $\Sigma(K; p/r)$ denotes the result of p/r-surgery on K.

(2) Let ζ_d be a primitive d-th root of unity. For an element α of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$, $N_d(\alpha)$ denotes the norm of α associated to the algebraic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $f(t)$ be a Laurent polynomial

[0]2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11R04, 11R27, 57M25, 57M27.
Keywords: Reidemeister torsion, Casson-Walker-Lescop invariant, Seifert fibered space.

over $\mathbb{Z}$. We define $|f(t)|_d$ by

$$|f(t)|_d = |N_d(f(\zeta_d))| = \left| \prod_{i \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} f(\zeta_d^i) \right|.$$

Let X be a homology lens space with $H_1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let d be a divisor of p. We define $|X|_d$ by

$$|X|_d = |\Delta_K(t)|_d,$$

where K is a knot in a homology 3-sphere Σ such that $X = \Sigma(K; p/r)$. Then $|X|_d$ is a topological invariant of X (Refer to [Kd3] for details).

(3) Let X be a closed oriented 3-manifold. Then $\lambda(X)$ denotes the Lescop invariant of X ([Le]). Note that $\lambda\left(S^3\right) = 0$.

2 Result

Let K be a knot in a homology 3-sphere Σ. Let M be the result of $2/q$-surgery on K: $M = \Sigma(K; 2/q)$. Let $\pi : X \to M$ be the universal abelian covering of M (i.e. the covering associated to $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1(M) \to H_1(M))$). Since $H_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, π is the 2-fold unbranched covering.

We then define $\lambda_q(K)$ by the following formula:

$$\lambda_q(K) := \lambda(X).$$

It is obvious that $\lambda_q(K)$ is a knot invariant of K. We also define $|K|_{(q,d)}$ by the following formula, if $|X|_d$ is defined:

$$|K|_{(q,d)} := |X|_d.$$

It is also obvious that $|K|_{(q,d)}$ is a knot invariant of K.

We then have the following.

Theorem 2.1 *Let K be a knot in a homology 3-sphere Σ. We assume the following.*

(2.1) $\lambda(\Sigma) = 0$,

(2.2) $\Delta_K(t) \doteq t^2 - 3t + 1$,

(2.3) $|q| \neq 1$,

(2.4) $\sqrt{|K|_{(q,5)}} \geq 4\{\lambda_q(K)\}^2 - 1$.

Then $M = \Sigma(K; 2/q)$ is not a Seifert fibered space.

The assumption **(2.2)** implies $H_1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ as shown in §3, hence $|X|_5$ is defined. The assumption **(2.4)** means $\sqrt{|X|_5} \geq 4\{\lambda(X)\}^2 - 1$. As noticed in the introduction, this inequality is suggested by computations in the following example, and so is the assumption **(2.1)**.

Example 2.2 Let K be the figure eight knot. Then $\lambda_q(K) = -q$ and $|K|_{(q,5)} = (5q^2 - 1)^2$. Hence **(2.4)** holds for every q.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let Σ_2 be the double branched covering space of Σ branched along K, and $\overline{K}$ the lifted knot of K in Σ_2. Since $|\Delta_K(-1)| = 5$, we have $H_1(\Sigma_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\overline{K}$ is null-homologous in Σ_2, and X is the result of $1/q$-surgery on $\overline{K}$, we have $H_1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$.

We suppose that M is a Seifert fibered space. According to Theorem 1.1, we may assume that M has a framed link presentation as in Figure 1, where $1 \leq \alpha < \beta$ and $\gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1$.



Figure 1: A framed link presentation of $M = \Sigma(K; 2/q)$

In fact, since $H_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the base surface of M has genus 0 and is S^2 (i.e. the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ is ruled out because the order of $H_1(M)$ is not divisible by 4). By **(2.2)**, $\Delta_K(t) \doteq t^2 - 3t + 1$. Hence by Theorem 1.1, we have the presentation in Figure 1, where $1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ and $\gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1$. Then the exceptional case that $\alpha = \beta = 1$ is removed as follows: The order of $H_1(M)$ is equal to

$$|10q_1 + 10q_2 + 4q_3|$$

if $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Since $H_1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$5q_1 + 5q_2 + 2q_3 = \pm 1$$

Since q_1 and q_2 are odd, this is impossible. Thus we have the desired presentation.

Then, on the universal abelian covering X of M, we see

$(*)$: X has a framed link presentation as in Figure 2.



Figure 2: A framed link presentation of X

Assuming $(*)$, we proceed with the proof (We will give a proof of $(*)$ in the appendix, which is essentially owing to [Se]).

By $(*)$ and [Kd2, Theorem 1.2 (3)], we have $|X|_5 = (\alpha\beta)^4$. Hence by **(2.4)**, we have

$$(\alpha\beta)^2 \geq 4\{\lambda(X)\}^2 - 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $4\{\lambda(X)\}^2 \leq (\alpha\beta)^2 + 1 < (\alpha\beta + 1)^2$, we have

$$|\lambda(X)| < \frac{\alpha\beta + 1}{2}. \tag{3.2}$$

We now consider e defined as follows:

$$e := \frac{q_1}{\alpha} + \frac{q_2}{\beta} + \frac{q_3}{5} + \frac{q_3}{5}.$$

According to the sign of e, we treat two cases separetely: We first consider the case $e > 0$. Then the order of $H_1(X)$ is $25\alpha\beta e$ by $(*)$ and [Or, Sv]. Since $H_1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$, $25\alpha\beta e = 5$, and $e = 1/(5\alpha\beta)$. Hence by $(*)$ and [Le, Proposition 6.1.1], we have

$$\lambda(X) = (-2)\alpha\beta + \frac{25\beta}{24\alpha} + \frac{25\alpha}{24\beta} + \frac{1}{24\alpha\beta} - \frac{5}{8} - \frac{5}{2}S \tag{3.3}$$

where $S = s(q_1, \alpha) + s(q_2, \beta) + 2s(q_3, 5)$ and $s(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Dedekind sum ([RG]).

By (3.2), we have

$$-\frac{\alpha\beta + 1}{2} < \lambda(X).$$

Hence

$$-\frac{\alpha\beta + 1}{2} < (-2)\alpha\beta + \frac{25\beta}{24\alpha} + \frac{25\alpha}{24\beta} + \frac{1}{24\alpha\beta} - \frac{5}{8} + \frac{5}{2}|S|.$$

Consequently we have

$$\frac{3}{2}\alpha\beta < -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{25}{24\alpha}\beta + \frac{25}{24}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) + \frac{1}{24\alpha\beta} + \frac{5}{2}|S|. \tag{3.4}$$

We show that $\alpha \geq 2$ implies a contradiction: Suppose that $\alpha \geq 2$. Since $\alpha < \beta$, we have $\beta \geq 3$ and $\alpha/\beta < 1$. Hence

$$3\beta < -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{25}{24 \cdot 2}\beta + \frac{25}{24} + \frac{1}{24 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} + \frac{5}{2}|S|.$$

In general, $|s(q, p)| \leq p/12$ holds. In fact, by [BL] and [RG],

$$|s(q, p)| \leq s(1, p) = \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{12p} \leq \frac{p^2}{12p} = \frac{p}{12}.$$

Since $|s(q_1, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{12} < \frac{\beta}{12}$, $|s(q_2, \beta)| \leq \frac{\beta}{12}$, and $|s(q_3, 5)| \leq \frac{1}{5}$, we have

$$|S| \leq |s(q_1, \alpha)| + |s(q_2, \beta)| + 2|s(q_3, 5)| \leq \frac{\beta}{6} + \frac{2}{5}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 3\beta &< -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{25}{48}\beta + \frac{25}{24} + \frac{1}{144} + \frac{5}{2}\left(\frac{\beta}{6} + \frac{2}{5}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{7}{8} + \frac{1}{144}\right) + \frac{25}{24} + \frac{45}{48}\beta \\ &< 1 + 1 + 2 + \beta \end{aligned}$$

implies $\beta < 2$. This contradicts $2 \leq \alpha < \beta$.

We next show that $\alpha = 1$ implies $\beta < 6$: Suppose that $\alpha = 1$, then $\beta \geq 2$. Substituing $\alpha = 1$ in (3.4), we have

$$\frac{3}{2}\beta < -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{25}{24}\beta + \frac{25}{24\beta} + \frac{1}{24\beta} + \frac{5}{2}|S|$$

where $S = s(q_2, \beta) + 2s(q_3, 5)$ (since $s(q_1, 1) = 0$). By using $\beta \geq 2$,

$$\frac{3}{2}\beta < -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{25}{24}\beta + \frac{25}{24 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{24 \cdot 2} + \frac{5}{2}|S|.$$

By applying $|s(q,p)| \leq \frac{p}{12}$ and $|s(q_3, 5)| \leq \frac{1}{5}$,

$$|S| \leq |s(q_2, \beta)| + 2|s(q_3, 5)| \leq \frac{\beta}{12} + \frac{2}{5},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2}\beta &< -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{25}{24}\beta + \frac{26}{48} + \frac{5}{2}\left(\frac{\beta}{12} + \frac{2}{5}\right) \\ &= \frac{17}{12} + \frac{5}{4}\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\beta < 6$.

Since $\alpha = 1$, $e = \frac{1}{5\beta}$. Hence

$$\frac{q_1}{1} + \frac{q_2}{\beta} + \frac{q_3}{5} + \frac{q_3}{5} = \frac{1}{5\beta},$$

and hence we have the following equation

$$(5\beta)q_1 + 5q_2 + (2\beta)q_3 = 1. \tag{3.5}$$

Since q_1 and q_2 are odd (see Figure 1), β must be even by (3.5). Hence $\beta = 2$ or 4.

Suppose that $\beta = 2$, then by (3.5),

$$10q_1 + 5q_2 + 4q_3 = 1.$$

Hence $q_2 \equiv -1 \pmod 4$ and $q_3 \equiv -1 \pmod 5$. By using [Le, Proposition 6.1.1] again, we have $\lambda(M) = -1$. On the other hand, $\lambda(M) = -q$ by the assumptions **(2.1)** and **(2.2)**. Hence $q = 1$. This contradicts **(2.3)**.

Suppose that $\beta = 4$, then by (3.5),

$$20q_1 + 5q_2 + 8q_3 = 1.$$

Hence $q_2 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and $q_3 \equiv 2 \pmod 5$. Hence by (3.3), we have $\lambda(X) = -\frac{9}{2}$. This contradicts (3.1), and ends the proof in the case $e > 0$.

We finally consider the case $e < 0$. Then $e = -\dfrac{1}{5\alpha\beta}$. By $(*)$ and [Le, Proposition 6.1.1], we have

$$\lambda(X) = -\left\{(-2)\alpha\beta + \frac{25\beta}{24\alpha} + \frac{25\alpha}{24\beta} + \frac{1}{24\alpha\beta} - \frac{5}{8} + \frac{5}{2}S\right\}.$$

Remaining part of the proof is similar to that in the case $e > 0$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

4 A formula for the Casson-Walker-Lescop invariant of the result of surgery on 2-bridge link



Figure 3: 2-bridge link $L = K_1 \cup K_2 = D(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}, a_n)$

Let $L = K_1 \cup K_2 = D(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}, a_n)$ be the oriented 2-bridge link as in Figure 3, where $(a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is a sequence of $(2n-1)$ integers, and a frame box labeled an integer c denotes a $|c|$ positive (resp. negative) full twists of two horizontal strands if $c > 0$ (resp. $c < 0$).

Let X be the result of surgery on L with coefficients p_1/q_1 and p_2/q_2 being q_1 and q_2 positive:

$$X = S^3(L; p_1/q_1, p_2/q_2), \quad q_1 > 0,\ q_2 > 0.$$

Let E denote the linking matrix associated to the framed link presentation of X defined by

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} p_1/q_1 & \ell \\ \ell & p_2/q_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where ℓ is the linking number of L. Note that $\ell = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$. Let $\mathrm{tr}(E)$, $\sigma(E)$ and $b_-(E)$ denote the trace, the signature and the number of negative eigenvalues of E, respectively. Then the following formula holds:

Theorem 4.1 ([Ma2, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.1])

$$\lambda(X) = (-1)^{b_-(E)} q_1 q_2 \left(\frac{p_2}{q_2}[K_1] + \frac{p_1}{q_1}[K_2] + [L] \right) + |p| \left(\frac{\sigma(E)}{8} + \frac{s(p_1, q_1)}{2} + \frac{s(p_2, q_2)}{2} \right)$$

where $[L] = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k(a_1 + \cdots + a_k)(a_{k+1} + \cdots + a_n) - \frac{\ell(\ell^2-1)}{12} + \frac{\ell^2}{12}\mathrm{tr}(E)$, $[K_i] = -\frac{p_i^2+q_i^2+1}{24q_i^2}$ *for* $i = 1, 2$, *and* $|p| = q_1 q_2 |\det(E)|$.

We apply this theorem in the next section.

5 Proof of Example 2.2

It is well-known that the figure eight knot 4_1 satisfies **(2.2)** of Theorem 2.1.

We now consider the oriented link $L = K_1 \cup K_2$ shown in Figure 4.

Then $L = D(1, -q, 1)$ in the notation of Section 4.

As is well-known [Ro], $M = S^3(4_1; 2/q)$ is presented as shown in Figure 5, and hence its



Figure 4: $L = K_1 \cup K_2 = D(1, -q, 1)$



Figure 5: $M = S^3(4_1; 2/q)$



Figure 6: $X = S^3(L; -3, -3)$

two fold unbranched covering X is presented as $S^3(L;-3,-3)$ shown in Figure 6.

We compute $\lambda(X)$: Let E be the linking matrix associated to the above framed link presentation of X: $E = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\mathrm{tr}(E) = -6$, $b_-(E) = 2$, $\sigma(E) = -2$, $[L] = -q - \frac{3}{2}$ and $[K_i] = -\frac{11}{24}$ $(i = 1, 2)$, we have $\lambda(X) = -q$ by Theorem 4.1.

We finally compute $|X|_5$: According to [Kn, Theorem 1], the 2-variable Alexander polynomial $\Delta_L(t_1, t_2)$ of L is given by

$$\Delta_L(t_1, t_2) \doteq -q(t_1 - 1)(t_2 - 1) + t_1 t_2 + 1. \tag{5.1}$$

Further, let T_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be the representing element of a meridian of K_i in $H_1(X)$. Then by the linking matrix E, we see

$$H_1(X) = \langle T_1, T_2 \mid T_1^{-3} T_2^{-2} = T_1^{-2} T_2^{-3} = 1 \rangle = \langle T \mid T^5 = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z},$$

where we regard $T = T_1 = T_2$.

Let $\zeta = \zeta_5$ be a primitive 5-th root of unity, and $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[H_1(X)] \to \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ a ring homomorphism defined by $\varphi(T_1) = \varphi(T_2) = \zeta$. Then the Reidemeister torsion of X associated to φ, denoted by $\tau^{\varphi}(X)$, is defined (cf. [Tr1, Tr2]), and we have

$$\tau^{\varphi}(X) \doteq \{(1 - q)(\zeta - 1)^2 + 2\zeta\}(\zeta - 1)^{-2} \tag{5.2}$$

by (5.1) and [Kd2, Lemma 2.5 (1)].

In addition, suppose that $X = \Sigma'(K'; 5/q')$ for a knot K' in a homology 3-sphere Σ'. Then we have

$$\tau^{\varphi}(X) \doteq \Delta_{K'}(\zeta')(\zeta' - 1)^{-1}(\zeta'^{\bar{q}'} - 1)^{-1} \tag{5.3}$$

by [Kd2, Lemma 2.6], where ζ' is a primitive 5-th root of unity, and $q'\bar{q}' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

By comparing (5.2) and (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X|_5 = |\Delta_{K'}(t)|_5 &= \left| \prod_{i \in (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} \{(1 - q)(\zeta^i - 1)^2 + 2\zeta^i\} \right| \\ &= \{(1 - q)(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) + 2q\}^2 \{(1 - q)(\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}) + 2q\}^2 \\ &= (5q^2 - 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda(X) = -q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{|X|_5} &= 5\{\lambda(X)\}^2 - 1 \\ &\geq 4\{\lambda(X)\}^2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the figure eight knot satisfies **(2.4)**. □

6 Concluding Remarks

(1) W. Thurston [Th] determined exceptional surgeries of the figure eight knot in terms of hyperbolic geometry, and M. Brittenham and Y. Wu [BW] determined exceptional surgeries of 2-bridge knots by using lamination theory.

(2) As in Theorem 1.1, the abelian Reidemeister torsion of M dominates the numerator p of Seifert surgery coefficient p/q on a knot. On the other hand, as in Theorem 2.1 and Example 2.2, the meta-abelian Reidemeister torsion $\tau^{\varphi}(X)$ of M and the Casson-Walker-Lescop invariant $\lambda(X)$ in combination dominate the denominator q of p/q.

(3) M. Marcolli and B. Wang [MW], and L. Nicolaescu [Ni] showed that the Seiberg-Witten invariant for a rational homology 3-sphere is decomposed into the Reidemeister-Turaev torsion part and the Casson-Walker invariant part.

Hence it would be worth asking how one can prove directly Theorem 2.1 or the like by applying the Seiberg-Witten invariant.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank to Kazuhiro Ichihara, Kimihiko Motegi, Makoto Sakuma and Yasuyoshi Tsutsumi for giving them useful comments.

Appendix: Proof of $(*)$ in Section 3



Figure 7: Illustration for proof

Figure 7 illustrates the proof given below: Let N be the result of 0-surgery along J, and we consider $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3$ as a link in N (Figure 8 (a)). Then $N = S^2 \times S^1$, and we may



Figure 8: $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3$ in $N = S^2 \times S^1$, and an annulus A bounded by $K_1 \cup K_2$

assume that K_1, K_2 and K_3 are regular fibers of N; in other words we may assume as follows:

$$K_1 = \{p_1\} \times S^1,\ K_2 = \{p_2\} \times S^1,\ K_3 = \{p_3\} \times S^1, \quad p_1, p_2, p_3 \in S^2.$$

Note that we can choose a regular fiber of N as a preferred longitude of K_i in Figure 1. In the following, we always choose a regular fiber as a preferred longitude of K_i.

Let A be an annulus as illustrateted in Figure 8 (b), which is a Seifert surface for $K_1 \cup K_2$ in N. Let Y be the 2-fold branched covering of N branched along $K_1 \cup K_2$ constructed by cut-open and copy-paste along A. Then Y is a Seifert fibered space. Let F be the base surface of Y . Then F is the 2-fold branched covering of S^2 with $\{p_1, p_2\}$ as the branch set. Hence $F = S^2$, and $Y = F \times S^1 = S^2 \times S^1$.

Let $\widetilde{p}_1$, $\widetilde{p}_2$, $\{\widetilde{p}'_3, \widetilde{p}''_3\}$ be the inverse images of p_1, p_2, p_3 with respect to $F \to S^2$ respectively, and set as follows:

$$\widetilde{K}_1 = \{\widetilde{p}_1\} \times S^1,\ \widetilde{K}_2 = \{\widetilde{p}_2\} \times S^1,\ \widetilde{K}'_3 = \{\widetilde{p}'_3\} \times S^1,\ \widetilde{K}''_3 = \{\widetilde{p}''_3\} \times S^1.$$

Then $\widetilde{K}_1$, $\widetilde{K}_2$, $\widetilde{K}'_3 \cup \widetilde{K}''_3$ are the inverse images of K_1, K_2, K_3 with respect to $Y \to N$ respectively. Note that

$$\widetilde{K}_1 \cup \widetilde{K}_2 \cup \widetilde{K}'_3 \cup \widetilde{K}''_3 \subset Y = S^2 \times S^1$$

is viewed as in Figure 9.



Figure 9: 2-fold branched covering $Y \to N$ along ∂A, and the lifted link of $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3$

By Figure 1 and Figure 8 (a), M is obtained from N by surgery on $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3$ with coefficients $2\alpha/q_1$, $2\beta/q_2$, $5/q_3$. We have assumed that the preferred longitude of K_i in Figure 1 is a regular fiber of N, and hence its lift to Y is also a regular fiber (since each lift of a regular fiber is a regular fiber). Hence X is obtained from Y by surgery on $\widetilde{K}_1 \cup \widetilde{K}_2 \cup \widetilde{K}_3' \cup \widetilde{K}_3''$ with coefficients α/q_1, β/q_2, $5/q_3$, $5/q_3$. This means $(*)$. □

References

[Ber] J. Berge, Some knots with surgeries yielding lens spaces, (Unpublished manuscript, 1990).

[BL] S. Boyer and D. Lines, *Surgery formulae for Casson's invariant and extensions to homology lens spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **45** (1990), 181–220.

[BW] M. Brittenham and Y. Wu, *The classification of exceptional Dehn surgeries on 2-bridge knots*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **9** (2001), 97–113.

[CGLS] M. Culler, M. Gordon, J. Luecke and P. Shalen, *Dehn surgery on knots*, Ann. of Math., **125** (1987), 237–300.

[Kd1] T. Kadokami, *Reidemeister torsion and lens surgeries on knots in homology 3-spheres I*, Osaka J. Math., **43**, no.4 (2006), 823–837.

[Kd2] T. Kadokami, *Reidemeister torsion of Seifert fibered homology lens spaces and Dehn surgery*, Algebr. Geom. Topol., **7** (2007), 1509–1529.

[Kd3] T. Kadokami, *Reidemeister torsion and lens surgeries on knots in homology 3-spheres II*, Top. Appl., **155**, no.15 (2008), 1699–1707.

[KMS] T. Kadokami, N.Maruyama and M. Shimozawa, *Lens surgeries along the n-twisted Whitehead link*, Kyunpook Math. J., **52** (2012), 245–264.

[Kn] T. Kanenobu, *Alexander polynomials of two-bridge links*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A), **36** (1984), 59–68.

[Le] C. Lescop, *Global surgery formula for the Casson-Walker invariant*, Ann. of Math. Studies, Princeton Univ. Press., **140** (1996).

[MW] M. Marcolli and B. Wang, *Seiberg-Witten and Casson-Walker invariants for rational homology 3-spheres*, Geom. Dedicata, **91** (2002), 45–58.

[Ma1] N. Maruyama, *On Dehn surgery along a certain family of knots*, Jour. of Tsuda College, **19** (1987), 261–280.

[Ma2] N. Maruyama, *The CWL invariant and surgeries along 2-component links*, Jour. of Musashino Art University, **42** (2011), 39–51.

[Ni] L. Nicolaescu, *Seiberg-Witten invariants of rational homology 3-spheres*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **6**, No. 6 (2004), 833–866.

[Or] P. Orlik, *Seifert manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math. **291** (1972), Springer-Verlag.

[OS1] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *On Heegaard Floer homology and Seifert fibered surgeries*, Geom. Top., **7** (2004), 181–203.

[OS2] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *On knot Floer homology and lens space surgeries*, Topology, **44** (2005), 1281–1300.

[RG] H. Rademacher and E. Grosswald, *Dedekind sums*, The Carus Mathematical Monograph, **16** (1972).

[Ro] D. Rolfsen, *Knots and Links*, Publish or Perish, Inc. (1976); AMS Chelsea Publishing (1976).

[Sv] N. Saveliev, *Invariants for homology 3-spheres*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **140**, Low-Dimensional Topology I, Springer-Verlag Berlin, (2002).

[Se] H. Seifert, *Topologie Dreidimensionaler Gefaserter Räume* (German), Acta. Math., **60**, no.1 (1933), 147–238.

[Th] W. P. Thurston, *The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds*, Electronic version 1.1 (www.msri.org/publications/books/gt3m/) (2002).

[Tr1] V. G. Turaev, *Reidemeister torsion in knot theory*, Russian Math. Surveys, **41-1** (1986), 119–182.

[Tr2] V. G. Turaev, *Introduction to Combinatorial Torsions*, Birkhäuser Verlag (2001).

[Wan] J. Wang, *Cosmetic surgeries on genus one knots*, Algebr. Geom. Topol., **6** (2006), 1491–1517.

Teruhisa Kadokami
Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University,
Dongchuan-lu 500, Shanghai, 200241, China
mshj@math.ecnu.edu.cn, kadokami2007@yahoo.co.jp

Noriko Maruyama
Musashino Art University,
Ogawa 1-736, Kodaira, Tokyo 187-8505, Japan
maruyama@musabi.ac.jp

Tsuyoshi Sakai
Department of Mathematics, Nihon University,
3-25-40, Sakurajosui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 156-8550, Japan